ENTERED

May 23, 2017
David J. Bradlev. Clerk

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

PETROHAB, LLC,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
VS.	§	
	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. H-17-1143
DYNAMIC INDUSTRIES, INC. AND	§	
DYNAMIC ANGOLA, L.L.C.	§	
	§	
Defendants.	§	

ORDER

The parties have agreed to arbitrate their disputes, including their dispute over the time frame of mediation and direct negotiation. The defendants' motion to compel arbitration and dismiss, Docket Entry No. 11, is denied as moot.

Under section 3 of the Federal Arbitration Act, 9 U.S.C. § 3, "a stay is mandatory upon a showing that the opposing party has commenced suit upon any issue referable to arbitration under an agreement in writing for such arbitration" *Alford v. Dean Witter Reynolds, Inc.*, 975 F.2d 1161, 1164 (5th Cir. 1992). The Fifth Circuit has interpreted this language to mean that the district court cannot deny a stay when one is properly requested. *Id.* "This rule, however, was not intended to limit dismissal of a case in the proper circumstances." *Id.* "[A] dismissal may be appropriate 'when *all* of the issues raised in the district court must be submitted to arbitration.'" *Ruiz v. Donahoe*, 784 F.3d 247, 249–50 (5th Cir. 2015) (quoting *Alford*, 975 F.2d at 1164). As the Fifth Circuit explained in *Alford*:

Although we understand that plaintiff's motion to compel arbitration must be granted, we do not believe that the proper course is to stay the action pending arbitration. Given our ruling that all issues raised in this action are arbitrable and must be submitted to arbitration, retaining jurisdiction and staying the action will

serve no purpose. Any post-arbitration remedies sought by the parties will not entail renewed consideration and adjudication of the merits of the controversy but would be circumscribed to a judicial review of the arbitrator's award in the limited manner prescribed by law.

Id. (quoting Sea-Land Service, Inc. v. Sea-Land of Puerto Rico, Inc., 636 F. Supp. 750, 757 (D. Puerto Rico 1986)).

This case is dismissed, without prejudice, in favor of arbitration.

SIGNED on May 23, 2017, at Houston, Texas.

Lee H. Rosenthal

Chief United States District Judg